# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

### INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE:

CSNHS-M003-00(977) Bryan Chatham

**OFFICE:** Engineering Services

P.I. No.: M003977

Resurfacing of I-95

**DATE:** June 3, 2009

FROM:

Ronald E. Wishon, Project Review Engineer

REW

TO:

David Crim, State Maintenance Engineer

Attn.: Willie Webb

### SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY ALTERNATIVES

The VE Study for the above project was held on April 29, 2009. Responses were received on May 29, 2009. Recommendations for implementation of Value Engineering Study Alternatives are indicated in the table below. The Project Manager shall incorporate the VE alternatives recommended for implementation to the extent reasonable in the design of the project.

ALT#	Description	Potential Savings/LCC	Implement	Comments
2	Construct traversable shoulders to avoid ramp shutdown	Design Suggestion	No	The existing ramp shoulders are not wide enough to support traffic – some are only 6 ft. wide. The existing shoulders consist of 1 ½" of asphalt on top of soil cement base. Rebuilding the shoulders would cost an additional \$2 million. An NOI would be required if this work was included.
4	Investigate in-situ repair of ramps in lieu of replacement	Design Suggestion	No	The ramps have been in place since I-95 was constructed in the early 1970's. The slabs were inspected and the estimate was completed based on field observations.
9	Provide a contract item for base/subgrade reconstruction on ramps	Design Suggestion	No	The specifications for slab removal include preparation of base under Section 452.3.03.B. Additional pay items are not warranted.

The Office of Engineering Services concurs with the Project Manager's responses.

### CSNHS-M003-00(977) Bryan Chatham Implementation of Value Engineering Study Alternatives

P.I. No. M003977 Page 2

Approved:

Well MRs

Date: 6/3/09

Gerald M. Ross, PE, Chief Engineer

Approved:

Richard Wayne Fedora

\_Date: 6/15/2005

Rodney Barry, PE, FHWA Division Administrator

### REW/LLM

### Attachments

c: R. Wayne Fedora/Aric Mance/Carlos Figueroa - FHWA

Genetha Rice Singleton

David Crim/Eric Pitts/Willie Webb/Reid Mathews

Will Murphy/Cory Knox

Hayden Rozier

Brad Saxon

Lisa Myers

Matt Sanders

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

#### INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE CSNHS-M003-00(977), Bryan/Chatham

OFFICE Jesup, Georgia

Resurfacing of I-95

PI: M003977

DATE

May 7, 2009

FROM Glenn W. Durrence, P.E., District Engineer

TO Ms. Lisa Myers, Engineering Services

Atlanta, Georgia

#### SUBJECT VE Study Response

Reference is made to the recommendations that were contained in the Value Engineering Study Report dated May 1, 2009 for the above referenced project. Responses and recommendations are as follows.

### 1. Value Engineering Alternative Number 2: Construct traversable shoulders to avoid ramp shutdown.

This alternate is **not** recommended for implementation due to the following reasons:

- The existing ramp shoulders are not wide enough to support traffic. Some of these ramps are only 6 foot wide, so they will have to be widened, as well as replaced.
- Cost The existing shoulders are 1.5 inches of asphalt on top of soil cement base. The cost of placing a shoulder of 12 inches of GAB, 4 inches 25-mm, and 2 inches of 19-mm to stage traffic would cost an additional \$1,605,528. With the removal of the existing shoulder, additional grading for widening, and erosion control. The cost will easily approach \$2 million.
- Also, due to the grading work, an NOI would be needed.
- The construction and staging of ramp traffic would expose traffic to construction for a longer duration than the proposed weekend closures of the ramps.
- In order to stage the ramp construction, additional details and drawings would be needed to insure that traffic can indeed be maintained. There is concern about guardrail and overhead sign structures being in conflict, especially at the beginning of the exit ramps.

## 2. Value Engineering Alternative Number 4: Investigate in-situ repair of ramps in lieu of replacement.

This alternate is not recommended for implementation due to the following reasons:

• The slabs were inspected and the estimate was completed based on our field observations. These slabs have been in place since I-95 was constructed in the early 1970s and have received little to no maintenance in the last 35 years. It is our recommendation that this option is not viable due to the existing condition of the slabs.

3. Value Engineering Alternative Number 9: Provide a contract item for base/subgrade reconstruction on ramps.

This alternate is not recommended for implementation due to the following reasons:

• The specifications for slab removal cover the preparation of base under Section 452.3.03.B. Additional pay items are not warranted.

If additional information is needed, please advise.

GWD:BWS:bws

200
$\sim$
-
v,
2
•
0
=
0
_
-
^
_
~
_
-
( )
-
11
-
_
~
_
-
u
_
n
-
111
-
~
ш
w
_
_
æ
-
_
_
10
•
7
-
u
=
_
ECONSTRUCTION STATUS REPORT FOR PI:M003977
_
-
_
~
LC.
1
-
ഗ
~,
~
-
-
u
O
_
111

17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.00   17.0	The control of the	17   13   13   14   14   15   15   15   15   15   15	PROJ ID: M00:		1-95 FROM SR 25/US 17/BRYAN TO 1-16/CHATHAM	I-16/CHATHAM					MGMT LET DATE:	11/20/2009	
CONG.DIST.   1, 12   SCHED LET DATE: 80/2009   SCHED LET DATE: 80/20	1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702   1702	NO.5   Prof.   Prof.			MPO:	Not Urban, Savannah	TMA	DOT DIST:	5		MGMT ROW DATE		
Maintained   Mai	Note   Wide	11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12   11/10.12		HSM00300977	TIP #:			CONG. DIST:	1, 12		SCHED LET DATE		
Concept   Maintenance   MesAsure   Mesasur	Concept   Machine   Mach	Content   Cont		b, Willie	MODEL YR:			BIKE:	z		WHO LETS?:	GDOT Let	
NO BRIDGE REQUIRED   NO BRIDGE REQUIRED NOT Perform 15   NO BRIDGE REQUIRED NOT Perform 16   NO BRID	State   Stat	St. Class   St.		tenance Consultant, GDOT In-House Design	TYPE WORK:	Resurface & Mainter MILINLAY	nance	MEASURE: NEEDS SCORE:	ш		LET WITH:		
SOURD   SOURD   Environmental Approval   START   FANSIT   START   FANSIT   START   S	Fig. 10   Fig.	Procession   Pro		TO	PROG TYPE: Prov. for ITS:	Maintenance N		BRIDGE SUFF:					
G-50-2000   Value Equirecting Study   121-2000   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150   150	6,502,000   Value Eigeneering Study   12,122,000   100   CST   LIAMP   2013   26,741,1375   LIAMP   2013   LIAMP   2013   26,741,1375   LIAMP   2013	State   Cost		· ACTIVITY	ACTUAL START	ACTUAL	%			PROGRAMMED	FUNDS		
NO BRIDGE REQUIRED   NO BRIDGE REQUIRED   Phase   22,000,000 0 Date: 12/18/2008   CST Cost Est Amt   22,000,000 0 Date: 12/18/2008   CST   Cost	NO BRIDGE RECURED	NO BRIDGE REQUIRED   Phase   Phase   Cost   Cost   Ear Amt   22,000,000.00   Date   12/18/2008   Cost   C	6/30/2009	Value Engineering Study Environmental Approval	2/12/2009	1/21/2009	100			Cost 26 741 137 50	Fund Status	Date Auth	28
NO BRIDGE REQUIRED PCEAprd 01-21-09   CertifiedLetAug09  Alimia 05/05/09 NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE LUMP SUM 0006194 arcel CT: Total Parcel in ROW System: Cond. Filed: Acquired by: N/R Review: Options - Pending: Relocations: Acquired: Acq	NO BRIDGE REQUIRED PCEAprd 01-21-09 CertifiedLetAug99, Alimia 05/05/09 NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE Acquired by: LUMF SUM 0006194 arcel CT: Total Parcel in ROW System: Cond. Filed: Acquired by: NR Review: Options - Pending: Relocations Acquired:	NO BRIDGE REQUIRED   PCEAptol (LAD)   Certified Let Aug/9) Alimia 05/05/09   District Comments					] ő	ST Cost Est Amt:	22,000,000,00 L	лае: 12/18/2008	370.	STIP AMOUNTS Cost 0.00	Fund L010
Total Parcel in ROW System:  Options - Pending:  Relocations:  Acquired by:  Relocations:  Relocations:  Acquired:	Total Parcel in ROW System:  Options - Pending:  Condemnations Pend:  Acquired:	Total Parcel in ROW System:  Options - Pending:  Relocations:  Redocations:  Acquired:  Acquired:	mung:	DGE REQUIRED d 01-21-09  CertifiedLetAug09  Alimia 05/05/09 PLICABLE UM 0006194						District C	omments		
			Prel. Parcel CT: Under Review: Released:	Total Parcel in ROW System: Options - Pending: Condemnations- Pend:	Cont Reloc	L Filed: rations: ired:	_	Acquired by Acquisition I RW Cert Ds	": MGR: ate:	N/R 4/6/09		DEEDS CT.	